

March 12, 2001

Ms. Guadalupe Cuellar Assistant City Attorney City of El Paso 2 Civic Center Plaza El Paso, Texas 79901-4710

OR2001-0954

Dear Ms. Cuellar:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 144884.

The City of El Paso (the "city") received a written request for all records pertaining to a particular complaint filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. You state that the city will release the requested information with the redaction of certain individuals' identities, which you contend are excepted from required public disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 protects "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," including information coming within the common law right to privacy. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Common law privacy protects information if it is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and it is of no legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* at 683-85.

You contend that the individuals' identities that you seek to withhold are protected by common law privacy in accordance with *Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.--El Paso 1992, writ denied). In *Ellen*, the court addressed the applicability of the common law privacy doctrine to files of an investigation of allegations of sexual harassment. We have reviewed the representative sample of the documents at issue. The document you submitted to this office does not reflect any allegations of sexual harassment. We therefore conclude that an analysis of the information at issue under *Ellen* is unwarranted. Because you have not otherwise demonstrated how the information at issue implicates a person's privacy interests, we conclude that the requested information must be released in its entirety.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Jennifer H. Bialek

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Ilmolo Biolis

JHB/RWP/er

Ref:

ID# 144884

Encl:

Submitted documents

cc:

Ms. Louie Gilot, Reporter

El Paso Times P.O. Box 20

El Paso, Texas 79999

(w/o enclosures)